



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,905	01/26/2004	Sang Hun Oh	20059/PIA31205	2660
34431	7590	12/07/2006	EXAMINER	
HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC 150 S. WACKER DRIVE SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			SULLIVAN, CALEEN O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/764,905

Applicant(s)

OH, SANG HUN

Examiner

Caleen O. Sullivan

Art Unit

1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 includes a process step where an air gap is formed within an Inter Metal Dielectric (IMD) layer; however, the specification fails to teach or describe how to form the air gap within the IMD layer.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the upper metal line" in the phrase, "...a portion of the upper metal line..." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "depositing an IMD (Inter Metal Dielectric) layer; forming an air gap within the IMD layer;" however, it is unclear whether the air gap formed in the IMD layer is due to a physical space that was created as the various material layers were etched, or if the air gap is

Art Unit: 1756

formed by diffusion of the material below the IMD layer through a porous layer, or if the air gap is an inherent aspect of the IMD layer when deposited on the structure.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa ('704) and Wang ('840) in view of Grill ('725) and Gardner ('379).

Furukawa ('704) teaches a process to fabricate a short channel field effect transistor with a highly conductive gate. In this process a first insulating layer is deposited on a substrate over which a conductive forming layer, then a conductive layer, then another insulating layer and lastly a photoresist layer are deposited. (See, col.7, 50-67). The resist layer is exposed to actinic light and developed down to the second insulating layer. (See, col.8, 5-8). This disclosure in Furukawa ('704) meets the limitations of claim 1 where a structure, comprised of a lower insulating layer, a lower

Art Unit: 1756

metal line and then an upper insulating layer is covered with a layer of photoresist that is patterned and then used as a mask.

The portion of the second insulation layer that is beneath the opening in the photoresist is removed down to the second conductive layer by etching. (See, col.8, 9-14). This process step disclosed in Furukawa ('704) teaches the limitation of claim 1 where the upper insulating layer is etched until at least a portion of the lower metal line is exposed. After the photoresist layer is stripped (See, col.8, 14) as recited in claim 3, the trench created in the upper insulating layer is filled with a third insulating material, and Furukawa ('704) teaches silicon nitride is one such suitable insulating material. (See, col.8, 14-18). This step in the process meets the limitation of claim 1 where the etched portion of the upper insulating layer is filled with a nitride film.

Then the second insulating layer is removed by etching (See, col.8, 24-25) as recited in claim 4, followed by a step of etching the conductive layer using the insulating material that filled the trench as mask. (See, col. 8, 24-29 and Fig.7). This step in the process meets the limitation of claim 1 where the lower metal line is etched until the lower insulating layer is exposed. Lastly, the first insulating layer is etched using the nitride insulation layer the conductive layer and the conductive forming layer as a mask. (See, col.8, 24-29).

Furukawa ('704) fails to teach a process where a second photoresist layer is deposited, patterned, used as a mask and removed. Furukawa ('704) also fails to teach a step where an IMD layer is deposited forming an air gap within the IMD layer, that is later planarized, after which a nitride layer is etched and the trench formed is filled with a conductive material. Furukawa ('704) also fails to disclose a process step where an upper metal line is deposited over the conductive material by an Al/Cu damascene process. However, Wang ('840) discloses a process, which teaches the steps of patterning a second photoresist layer, which is then used as a mask before being

Art Unit: 1756

removed. Wang ('840) also teaches a step where a nitride layer is etched and the trench formed is filled with a conductive material over which an upper metal line is formed.

Wang ('840) teaches a process for forming a dual damascene structure. The process uses a structure consisting of a substrate on which a patterned metal layer is formed over which a dielectric layer is deposited. The structure also includes a stop layer formed over the first dielectric layer and then another dielectric layer is formed over the stop layer. (See, col. 2, 49-53 and Fig.1 and 2A). A first photoresist layer is formed on the upper dielectric layer and is used to define the first dielectric layer the stop layer and the second dielectric layer, and the portions of the three layers not covered by the photoresist layer are removed to form a via opening. (See, col. 2, 60-67).

Wang ('840) then discloses another dielectric layer is formed over the structure that fills a portion of the via formed in the upper dielectric layer. (See col. 3, 6-22). Then a second layer of photoresist is formed on the dielectric layer, which is used as an etch mask to pattern the various dielectric layers (See, col.3, 23-34), and the dielectric layer and material deposited in the via are completely removed to expose the metal layer. (See, col.3, 31-34). This disclosure teaches the limitations in claim 1 where a second photoresist layer is patterned and used as an etch mask as well as the limitation of claim 4 where the upper insulating layer is removed. After the second patterning and etching steps the second photoresist layer is removed (See, col. 3, 37-38) as recited in claim 5.

Wang ('840) also discloses another step in the process where a conductive material, such as a metal, is formed over the dielectric layer, and fills the via and trench formed. (See, col. 3, 39-54). The conductive material is removed from the dielectric layer by chemical mechanical polishing exposing the dielectric layer, and after filling the via opening with the conductive material a via plug is formed. (See col. 3, 39-54). Then, an upper level conductive liner is formed by filling the trench with conductive material. (See, col.3, 39-54 and Fig. G). These steps disclosed in Wang ('840) meet the

Art Unit: 1756

limitations of claim 1 where the hole formed in a dielectric layer is filled with conductive material and an upper metal line is deposited over the conductive material.

However, Wang ('840) also fails to teach the upper metal line is formed by an Al/Cu damascene process. Deposition of an upper metal line by such a method is disclosed in Grill ('725). Grill ('725) teaches a method of forming a multilevel interconnect structure that contains air gaps. Grill ('725) discloses the steps followed in a typical damascene process (See, col. 1, 56-67), and Grill ('725) teaches the conductive wiring formed during this process can include metals or alloys such as an Al-Cu alloy. (See, col.5, 37-40). The teachings of Grill ('725) meet the limitation of claim 2, where the deposition of the upper metal line is done by an Al/Cu damascene process.

Wang ('840) also fails to teach the limitations of claim 1 where an IMD layer is deposited on the structure, an air-gap is formed within the IMD layer and the IMD layer is planarized. A method teaching process steps such as these is disclosed in Gardner ('379).

Gardner ('379) teaches a method of forming an air gap spacer for high performance MOSFETS, including planarizing the interlevel dielectric. The process uses a structure comprised of a substrate over which a dielectric layer, a polysilicon layer that is rendered conductive by doping, and a masking layer are deposited. (See, Fig.2). Portions of the polysilicon layer and masking layer are removed to form gate conductors. (See, Fig.5-6 and col.5, 32-39). An inter level dielectric is CVD deposited over the surface of a semiconductor topography between the laterally adjacent gate conductors. (See, col. 6, 66-col.7, 13). The masking structures above the gate conductors on the semiconductor prevent the dielectric material deposited from accumulating on the sidewall surfaces of the gate conductors and air gaps are formed laterally adjacent to the gate conductors. (See, col.7, 1-13). The interlevel dielectric is removed to a level that is substantially coplanar with the upper surface of the masking structure by using a chemical mechanical polishing. (See, col.7, 11-13 and

Art Unit: 1756

Fig.12). These process steps teach the limitations of claim 1, where an IMD layer is deposited, an air gap is formed within the IMD layer, as well as the limitation of claim 6 where the IMD layer is planarized.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Furukawa ('704) and Wang ('840) with the teachings of Grill ('725) and Gardner ('379) in order to form an air gap within a dielectric layer between conductive or metal layers, which Grill ('725) teaches can be formed by a damascene process using an Al-Cu alloy, because Gardner ('379) teaches the inclusion of air gaps between the conductive layers prevents unwanted capacitive coupling because the dielectric constant of the dielectric material between the multiple conductive layers has been lowered by including the air gaps.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caleen O. Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-6569. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like



Art Unit: 1756

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

COS

11-27-06

  
KATHLEEN DUDA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1700